For Office Use only:					
Date					
Ref					

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?						
Section	3	Paragraph	3.15	Policy	SC1 SC 3 WD 1 and 2	
4. Do you consid	ler the Plan is:					
4 (1). Legally com	pliant	Yes		No	No	
4 (2). Sound		Yes		No	No	
4 (3). Complies w	ith the Duty to co-c	perate Yes		No	No	

Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I think this is a failure in Legal Compliance and the Duty to Co-operate

Essentially it is a failure to understand: It also seems to me that the CBMD (City of Bradford Metropolitan District) Council has failed to understand the following about Ilkley ...

- It seems the only available land in the Ilkley ward is Green Belt land with some few exceptions, those that I know of - seem small - such as the land bank Tesco Stores has invested in - I mean the old Spooner's site.
- It seems most schools are already at capacity there are no tertiary educational facilities anyway.
- Dentistry and Healthcare facilities seem to be under strong pressure from demand already.
- The major road (A65?) is often gridlocked and there seem to be no plans to ameliorate this - rather the opposite if I look at the required discouragement of cars.
- Car parking is already v. difficult, expensive and is critically inadequate.
- There is poor public transport and such it does not seem to be able to cope at 'Peak Times'.
- Local employment opportunities have always been limited so commuting to Leeds and Bradford will have to increase regardless of transport - and most will have to be by car - though more flights will be necessary from LBA International!
- The impact on the character of Ilkley and its surroundings for visitors and tourists as well as local residents will be considerable. I would not choose Ilkley now should I move up North as I did 25+ years ago (I moved up from Northern Kent!). I would look to Harrogate (a real Town!) or Ripon (a City!).
- 6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or

sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Investment Priorities for Ilkley

- A: To improve public transport, particularly between Ilkley to enhance the ease of movement and improve access to Bradford as well as Leeds.
- B: To improve the A65 road capability all the way to Bradford from Ilkley.
- C: To invest in Ilkley centre to deliver enhancements /improvements to the railway station and create lower cost more substantial parking, reducing on street demand for shoppers and commuters alike.
- D: To provide infrastructure to support needed
 - -Educational requirements
 - -Dentistry & Medical requirements

for the local community.

E: To protect the unique & peculiar aspect of Ilkley to allow a natural evolution of tourism and movement of 'Incomers'.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

	stage, further submissions will be only at the reque s he/she identifies for examination.	st of the	Inspector, based on the matters
	epresentation is seeking a modification to the Plan, oral part of the examination?	do you	consider it necessary to participate
No	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examina	tion	
	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination		
8. If you wi	ish to participate at the oral part of the examination sary:	, please	outline why you consider this to be
11000	ury.		
	te the Inspector will determine the most appropriate pro have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral p		
UIUSE WIIC I	Tave more at the oral p	art or the	examination.
9. Signatu	are:	Date:	27 th March 2014